## Solutions for a Cosmic String

Haru Chand Dhara<sup>1,3</sup> and Dipankar Ray<sup>2</sup>

Received January 15, 1999

For a conformally flat metric  $ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(d\eta^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2)$  Vilenkin obtained the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ \frac{a^2(\eta) \dot{y}}{\sqrt{1 + {y'}^2 - \dot{y}^2}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{a^2(\eta) y'}{\sqrt{1 + {y'}^2 - \dot{y}^2}} \right]$$

for a cosmic string and gave some particular solutions both for a = const and  $a \neq \text{const}$ . The present work completely solves the equation for a = const and extend the work of Vilenkin for  $a \neq \text{const}$ .

Vilenkin<sup>(1)</sup> obtained an equation for a cosmic string in a conformally flat space-time given by

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)(d\eta^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{2}), \qquad a(\eta) \ d\eta = dz$$

Vilenkin gave some particular solutions for the flat-space-time case where  $a(\eta) = 1$  and also indicated some approximate solutions for a radiationdominated universe where  $a(\eta) \simeq \eta$ . In this paper we solve completely the equations for the flat-space-time case where  $a(\eta) = 1$  and obtain a particular solution for the expanding-universe case with arbitrary  $a(\eta)$ .

For a conformally flat-space-time cosmological model given by

$$ds^{2} = a^{2}(\eta)(d\eta^{2} - dx^{2} - dy^{2} - dz^{2})$$
(1)

where  $a(\eta) d\eta = dt$ , Vilenkin obtained the following equation for a cosmic string:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Benupalchak High School, Benupalchak, Shibnarayanchak, Udaynarayanpur, Via M. Hat, Howrah 711410, W.B., India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta 32, W.B., India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left[ \frac{a^2(\eta)\dot{y}}{\sqrt{1 + {y'}^2 - \dot{y}^2}} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ \frac{a^2(\eta)y'}{\sqrt{1 + {y'}^2 - \dot{y}^2}} \right]$$
(2)  
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\eta, x)/\partial \eta \text{ and } y' = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(\eta, x)/\partial x.$$

where  $\dot{y} = \partial y(\eta, x)/\partial \eta$  and  $y' = \partial y(\eta, x)/\partial x$ . Vilenkin noted that a class of particular solutions of equation (2) for  $a(\eta) = 1$  is given by

$$y = f(x \pm \eta)$$

where f is an arbitrary function.

Vilenkin also noted that for a radiation-dominated universe one gets  $a(\eta) \simeq \eta$ . He then indicated some approximate solutions for  $a(\eta) = \eta$  and  $\dot{y}, \dot{y}' \ll 1$ . The present work extends the work of Vilenkin. To this end we note that a necessary and sufficient condition for  $y(x, \eta)$  to satisfy equation (2) is that there exist  $z(x, \eta)$  such that

$$\frac{a^2(\eta)\dot{y}}{\sqrt{1+{y'}^2-\dot{y}^2}} = z'$$
 (3a)

$$\frac{a^2(\mathbf{\eta})y'}{\sqrt{1+{y'}^2-\dot{y}^2}} = \dot{z}$$
(3b)

specifically, the present work seeks to obtain solutions of equation (2) by obtaining solutions of equations (3). For this it is necessary to prove the following lemma.

*Lemma.* For  $y(x, \eta)$  and  $z(x, \eta)$  satisfying equations (3) the following results hold.

(i) y ≠ const. y<sup>2</sup> - y'<sup>2</sup> = 0 ⇒ a(η) = const.
(ii) y<sup>2</sup> - y'<sup>2</sup> ≠ 0 ⇔ yz' ≠ y'z.
(iii) For yz' ≠ y'z, equations (3) can be rewritten as follows:

$$1 + (a^2 x_z + x_y)^2 = (a^2 \eta_z + \eta_y)^2$$
(4a)

$$1 + (a^2 x_z - x_y)^2 = (a^2 \eta_z - \eta_y)^2$$
(4b)

where y and z have been treated as independent variables, while x and  $\eta$  have been treated as function of y and z, i.e., for equations (4)

$$x = x(y, z)$$
  

$$\eta = \eta(y, z)$$
  

$$x_z = \partial x / \partial z$$
 (5)  

$$\eta_y = \partial \eta / \partial y, \text{ etc.}$$
  

$$x_y \eta_z \neq x_z \eta_y$$

The above inequality is necessary because equations (3) presuppose that x and  $\eta$  are not functionally related.

(iv)

$$x = \phi(\alpha) + \underline{\psi(\beta)}$$
(6a)

$$\dot{y}z' \neq y'\dot{z}, a = 1 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 2\eta_{\alpha} = \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\phi_{\alpha}^2} \end{cases}$$
 (6b)

$$\lfloor 2\eta_{\beta} = \pm \sqrt{1} + 4\psi_{\beta}^2 \tag{6c}$$

where

$$\alpha = y + z, \qquad \beta = y - z \tag{7}$$

and  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are arbitrary functions.

(v)

$$z' = \text{const}$$
 (8a)

$$y' = \text{const} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{y} \text{ is a function of } \eta \end{cases}$$
 (8b)

 $(\dot{z} \text{ is a function of } \eta)$  (8c)

Proof of Part (i). In this case equations (3) reduce to

$$a^2 \dot{y} = z', \qquad a^2 y' = \dot{z}$$

From the above two equations one can eliminate z by using  $\partial z' / \partial \eta = \partial \dot{z} / \partial x$  to get

$$a^2\ddot{y} + 2a\dot{a}\dot{y} = a^2y'' \tag{9}$$

As  $\dot{y}^2 - {y'}^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \ddot{y} = y''$ , one can easily conclude from (9) that  $\dot{a} = 0$  (therefore  $y \neq \text{const}$ ) which implies a = const.

*Proof of Part (ii).* Multplying (3a) and (3b) by  $\dot{y}$  and y', respectively, and then subtracting one from other, one can trivially prove Part (ii).

*Proof of Part (iii).* As  $\dot{y}z' \neq y'\dot{z}$ , then neither y nor z is constant, nor are y and z functionally related. Hence one can treat y and z as independent variables and regard x and  $\eta$  as functions of y and z. Now  $\dot{y}$ , y',  $\dot{z}$ , and z' are related to  $x_z$ ,  $x_y$ ,  $\eta_z$ , and  $\eta_y$  by the relations

$$x_{yy}y' + x_{z}z' = 1$$

$$x_{y}\dot{y} + x_{z}\dot{z} = 0$$

$$\eta_{y}y' + \eta_{z}z' = 0$$

$$\eta_{y}\dot{y} + \eta_{z}\dot{z} = 1$$
(10)

Using equations (10), one get equations (4) from equations (3) after a little algebra. *Proof of Part (iv).* Put a = 1 in equations (4) to get

$$1 + (x_z + x_y)^2 = (\eta_z + \eta_y)^2$$
(11a)

$$1 + (x_x - x_y)^2 = (\eta_z - \eta_y)^2$$
(11b)

Equations (11) can be rewritten as

$$2\eta_{\alpha} = \pm \sqrt{1 + 4x_{\alpha}^2}$$
(12a)

$$2\eta_{\beta} = \pm \sqrt{1 + 4x_{\beta}^2} \tag{12b}$$

where

$$\alpha = y + z, \qquad \beta = y - z \tag{12c}$$

Here x and  $\eta$  have been treated as functions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $x_{\alpha} = \partial x(\alpha, \beta) / \partial \alpha$  and so on.

From equations (12a) and (12b) one can eliminate  $\eta$  by using  $\eta_{\alpha\beta}=\eta_{\beta\alpha}$  to get

$$x_{\alpha\beta}(x_{\alpha} + x_{\beta})(x_{\alpha} - x_{\beta}) = 0$$
(13)

Equation (13) for the present case implies  $x_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ , which will now be proved.

If possible let  $x_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ . Then from the equations (12) and (13) there are four possibilities.

(A)  $x_{\alpha} = x_{\beta}, \eta_{\alpha} = \eta_{\beta}$ (B)  $x_{\alpha} = x_{\beta}, \eta_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\beta}$ (C)  $x_{\alpha} = -x_{\beta}, \eta_{\alpha} = \eta_{\beta}$ (D)  $x_{\alpha} = -x_{\beta}, \eta_{\alpha} = -\eta_{\beta}$ 

Either of (A) and (D) implies that x and  $\eta$  are functionally related, which is not possible here. On the other hand, (B) implies  $x = x(\alpha + \beta)$  and  $\eta = \eta(\alpha - \beta)$ , which in view of equations (12) implies  $x_{\alpha} = \text{const}$ , which implies  $x_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . Similarly (C) also gives  $x_{\alpha\beta} = 0$ . So in any case one must have

$$x_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \tag{14}$$

which implies (6a). Using (6a) in equations (12), we get equations (6b) and (6c), which proves Part (iv) of the lemma.

Proof of Part (v). Now,  $y' = \text{const} \Rightarrow \dot{y}' = 0$ , which implies (8b). From equations (3),  $y' = \text{const} \Rightarrow \dot{z}$  and z' are independent of  $x \Rightarrow \dot{z} = 0 \Rightarrow z'$  is independent of  $\eta$  since z' is independent of both x and  $\eta$ , then z' = const and  $\dot{z}$  is a function of  $\eta$ , which implies (8a) and (8c).

The solutions are now given as follows:

I. Solutions with  $y \neq const$ ,  $\dot{y}^2 - {y'}^2 = 0$ . Here solutions exist only if a = const. The solutions are

(1) 
$$y = f(x + \eta)$$
.  $z = g(x + \eta)$  (15a)

(2) 
$$y = f(x - \eta)$$
.  $z = g(x - \eta)$  (15b)

where f and g are arbitrary functions. These are the solutions obtained by Vilenkin.<sup>(1)</sup>

II. Solutions with  $\dot{y}^2 - {y'}^2 \neq 0$ . Here equations (2) or (3) have been solved only for a = const, which without loss of generality gives a = 1. The solutions are given by

$$x = \phi(\alpha) + \psi(\beta) \tag{16a}$$

$$\eta = \pm \int \sqrt{1 + 4\phi_{\alpha}^2} \, d\alpha \pm \int \sqrt{1 + 4\psi_{\beta}^2} \, d\beta \qquad (16b)$$

where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are arbitrary functions of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are given by (12c).

III. Solutions with y' = const. In this case the solution of equations (3) as well as of equation (2) is given by

$$y(x, \eta) = c_3 + c_1 x \pm c_2 \sqrt{1 + c_1^2} \int \frac{d\eta}{\sqrt{a^4 + c_2^2}}$$
 (17)

where  $C_{i=1,2,3}$  are constants of integration. The solution (17) is valid for arbitrary  $a(\eta)$ .

Thus we have solve the equations of a cosmic string generally for the flat-space-time case. Such solutions are given by equations (15) and (16). The solution given by equation (15) was already obtained by Vilenkin.<sup>(1)</sup> For the expanding-universe case we have solved the equation of a cosmic string with the assumption y' = const. This solution is given by (17), which is valid for arbitrary  $a(\eta)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 24, 2082 (1981).